## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

United State of America,	) CASE NO. 1:16 CR 224
Plaintiff,	JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
Vs.	)
Bogdan Nicolescu, et al.,	Order
Defendants.	)

This matter is before the Court upon the Joint Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial, Final Pretrial, and Related Motion Deadlines (Doc. 78). The government is not opposed to the requested continuance.

Defendants Nicolescu and Radu Miclaus move the Court for the **fourth** extension of the trial date in this matter. This case is now nearly two years old. The Court previously continued the trial on three separate occasions for a total of **twelve** months. In the most recent motion, the moving defendants indicate that a fourth extension is necessary to "continue" to review the large amounts of discovery provided by the government. But, the motion wholly fails to explain why the parties believed in their three previous motions that they would be trial-ready by the dates

Case: 1:16-cr-00224-PAG Doc #: 79 Filed: 08/15/18 2 of 2. PageID #: 1352

they previously proposed. In addition, defendants argue that one co-defendant is cooperating

with the government and, as such, "attorneys for the government have stated that much more

discovery will be forthcoming." The government does not oppose defendants' characterization

of the status of discovery. The Court is at a complete loss as to how "much more" discovery

could be forthcoming simply from a defendant agreeing to cooperate. Regardless, the instant

motion is completely devoid of any indication as to why the multiple previous extensions, which

provided over seventeen months for discovery, are insufficient. Nor do the defendants or the

government suggest how much more time is required. In fact, the motion does not even propose

a trial date. And, as this Court has previously noted, the Court cannot easily accommodate the

scheduling of a four-week trial.

The Court has grave reservations about granting this motion. As opposed to denying it

outright, the Court will allow supplemental briefing in support of a continuance. All parties may

file briefs further explaining their positions. The parties' request for a telephonic status

conference is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

United States District Judge

Chief Judge

Dated: 8/15/18

2